

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	IVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	09/303,530	04/30/99	EHRGOTT		G	PA99-316-02
Г	_	DM20 /0720 7 [EXAMINER	
	STEVEN B S	TEIN ESQ	PM82/0720	PM82/0720 '	GIBSON,R	
	STEIN & STEIN 164 ROUTE 10 WEST SUCCASUNNA NJ 07876				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
					3634	3
					DATE MAILED:	07/20/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	Application No. Applicant(s) 09.303.530 Chront			
Office Action Summary	Examiner WSON	Group Art Unit 3634		
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	nrs on the cover sheet beneath t	the correspondence address		
Period for Reply	TI(3)	•		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	O EXPIRE (W (LL) MON	TH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE		
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defau Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta 	eply within the statutory minimum of thin t, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the maili	ty (30) days will be considered timely. ing date of this communication.		
Status				
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•		
☐ This action is FINAL.				
 Since this application is in condition for allowance excep accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19 		as to the merits is closed in		
Disposition of Claims				
☐ Claim(s)	i	s/are pending in the application.		
Of the above claim(s)	is	s/are withdrawn from consideration.		
☐ Claim(s)	i	s/are allowed.		
© Claim(s) 1-30		s/are rejected.		
☐ Claim(s)	i	s/are objected to.		
□ Claim(s)				
Application Papers	r	equirement.		
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi	ng Review, PTO-948.			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disap	proved.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection	cted to by the Examiner.			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the received. 	- ,,,,	n		
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num	•			
received in this national stage application from the Ir	·	• • •		
TO amblical combon and received.		•		
*Certified copies not received:				
Attachment(s)	2			
Attachment(s) Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper		Summary, PTO-413		
Attachment(s)	☐ Notice of	Summary, PTO-413 Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No. ___3__

Application/Control Number: 09/303530 Page 2

Art Unit: 3634

1. Claims 3-20, 22, 24-29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3 is indefinite because it depends from claim 1 which recites that the body portion is capable of supporting a cantilever load.

The subject matter of claim 17 is vague and indefinite because it is not shown in the drawing or described in the specification.

Claim 19 is not understood.

Claims 3-20, 24-29 do not begin properly because they depend from claim 1 which claims "A storage device".

Claim 22 is indefinite because it depends from claim 21 which recites that the receiving member is "metal, wood or plastic".

Claim 30, a method claim, is indefinite because it recites no method steps.

In claim 2, lines 4-5 the recitation "capable of supporting a direct load" is not understood as it is not described in the specification.

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
- 3. A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3634

- 4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Ehrlich.
- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1, 2, 4-25, 27, 28, 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cox in view of Ehrlich.

Body portion 40 of Cox is 'capable' of supporting cantilever or direct load. It would have been obvious to substitute the retention device of Ehrlich which is the same as applicant's for that of Cox to provide a more secure attachment.

- 7. Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Stroh.
- 8. Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cox in view of Ehrlich as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Klekar.

It is obvious in view of Figs. 6 and 7 of Klekar that the receiving member can be either horizontal or vertical in orientation.

9. The patents to Vanderhoek et al, and Poole are cited to show similar structures.

Gibson-Carmen

July 17, 2000

ROBERT W GIBSON, JR.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 316